

**Amendments to the Claims:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

Claims 8, 9 and 11 are amended.

**Listing of Claims:**

1. (ORIGINAL) An optical waveguide device comprising:
  - a substrate composed of a nonlinear optical material and
  - a periodically domain-inverted structure having the same composition as the nonlinear optical material, wherein
    - the domain-inverted structure has a refractive index distribution relying on the domain-inverted structure.
2. (ORIGINAL) The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein the domain-inverted structure is formed by applying a voltage in a polarization direction of the substrate.
3. (ORIGINAL) The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein the substrate composed of a nonlinear optical material is an offcut substrate.
4. (ORIGINAL) The optical waveguide device according to claim 3, wherein the substrate has an offcut angle inclined in a range of 1° to 10° with respect to the substrate surface.
5. (ORIGINAL) The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein the substrate is a thin film, having an optical substrate bonded via a bonding layer to one face of the substrate.

6. (ORIGINAL) The optical waveguide device according to claim 5, wherein at least either the surface or a back face of the substrate is provided with a convex, and the domain-inverted structure is formed in stripes at the convex.

7. (ORIGINAL) The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein the nonlinear optical material is a Mg-doped  $\text{LiNb}_{(1-x)}\text{Ta}_x\text{O}_3$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ).

8. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein

the nonlinear optical material is a Mg-doped  $\text{LiNbO}_3$  crystal,

a phase matching wavelength harmonizes with a Bragg reflection wavelength, and

the Bragg reflection wavelength  $\lambda$  satisfies a relationship of  $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_2$  when  $\lambda_1 = 635 + 48 \times n$  (nm),  $\lambda_2 = 1.02 \times \lambda_1$  (nm) where ( $n = 0, 1, 2$ ), or  
 $\lambda_1 = 774$  [[nm]] +  $40 \times n$  (nm),  $\lambda_2 = 1.02 \times \lambda_1$  (nm) where ( $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\dots$ ).

9. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein

the nonlinear optical material is a Mg-doped  $\text{LiNbO}_3$  crystal,

a phase matching wavelength harmonizes with a Bragg reflection wavelength, and

the Bragg reflection wavelength  $\lambda$  satisfies a relationship of  $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_2$  when  $\lambda_1 = 613 + 48 \times n$  (nm),  $\lambda_2 = 1.02 \times \lambda_1$  (nm) where ( $n = 0, 1, 2$ ), or  
 $\lambda_1 = 754$  [[nm]] +  $40 \times n$  (nm),  $\lambda_2 = 1.02 \times \lambda_1$  (nm) where ( $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\dots$ ).

10. The optical waveguide device according to claim 1, wherein  
the domain-inverted structure is composed of a wavelength-converting portion  
and a DBR portion, and

the phase matching wavelength of the wavelength-converting portion is equal to  
the Bragg reflection wavelength of the DBR portion, and a difference between the phase  
matching wavelength of the wavelength-converting portion and the Bragg reflection  
wavelength of the wavelength-converting portion is at least 5 nm.

11. (CURRENTLY AMENDED) A coherent light source comprising a  
semiconductor laser and an optical waveguide device according to ~~any one of claims 1-10~~  
claim 1, where a light beam emitted from the semiconductor laser enters the optical  
waveguide device.

12. (ORIGINAL) An optical apparatus comprising the coherent light source  
according to claim 11.